(Brief Note)



# Interaction of warfarin with enteral formulas and their protein components *in vitro*

Naoko Kuwabara<sup>1</sup>, Ramiya Sakurazawa<sup>1</sup>, Miho Ohta-Shimizu<sup>1</sup>, Fumiko Fuwa<sup>1</sup>, Masami Tsugita<sup>2</sup>, Noriyasu Sato<sup>3</sup>, Shinji Sato<sup>4</sup> and Saori Nakagawa<sup>1,\*</sup>

**Summary** Simultaneous administration of enteral formula and warfarin in the clinical setting can shorten or extend the prothrombin time-international normalized ratio (PT-INR). We previously found that the free warfarin rate is reduced when warfarin and enteral formulas (Mei Balance R<sup>®</sup>, Mei Flow<sup>®</sup>, F2 Light<sup>®</sup>, and PG Soft EJ<sup>®</sup>) are mixed *in vitro*. In this study, we examined the binding between warfarin and enteral formulas or their protein components by quantifying the free warfarin concentration using HPLC and analyzed the binding site. The whey protein Lactocrystal<sup>®</sup> showed the lowest free warfarin rate of the various mixtures of warfarin and proteins contained in the enteral formulas. A Scatchard plot revealed two binding sites with warfarin in four types of enteral formulas and three types of whey proteins (Lactocrystal<sup>®</sup>, PROGEL800<sup>®</sup>, and Wheyco W80<sup>®</sup>). These results showed that warfarin and the proteins in enteral formulas bind to each other, which may inhibit the absorption of warfarin from the small intestine. When the enteral formula type is changed during the co-administration of warfarin and enteral formula, precautions need to be taken, such as monitoring of warfarin or PT-INR.

Key words: Warfarin, Enteral formulas, Protein, Scatchard plot, Ultrafiltration

<sup>1</sup>Department of Bio-analytical Chemistry, Niigata University of Pharmacy and Applied Life Sciences, 265-1 Higashijima, Akiha-ku, Niigata 956-8603, Japan. <sup>2</sup>Education and Research Center for Clinical Pharmacy, Niigata University of Pharmacy and Applied Life Sciences, 265-1 Higashijima, Akiha-ku, Niigata 956-8603, Japan. \*Corresponding author: Saori Nakagawa, Department of Bio-analytical Chemistry, Niigata University of Pharmacy and Applied Life Sciences, 265-1 Higashijima, Akiha-ku, Niigata 956-8603, Japan. Tel.: +81-250-25-5296 Fax: +81-250-25-5296 E-mail: saorin@nupals.ac.jp

Received for publication: December 16, 2021 Accepted for publication: February 3, 2022

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Shibata Rehabilitation Hospital, 1611-8 Aramachi kou, Shibata, Niigata 959-2311, Japan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Functional and Analytical Food Sciences, Niigata University of Pharmacy and Applied Life Sciences, 265-1 Higashijima, Akiha-ku, Niigata 956-8603, Japan.

#### 1. Introduction

The anticoagulant warfarin has been used worldwide for decades. However, many interactions related to vitamin K and the drug-metabolizing enzyme CYP2C9 have been reported<sup>1-3</sup>. Therefore, attention must be paid to food intake and medication use. Warfarin is used to treat and prevent thromboembolism and is often used in combination with enteral formula in critically ill patients. However, simultaneous administration of warfarin with enteral formula has been reported to shorten the prothrombin time-international normalized ratio (PT-INR), which is an indicator of warfarin efficacy<sup>4</sup>, or to reduce the maximum serum warfarin concentration<sup>5</sup>. One reason for this is that the vitamin K in enteral formulas antagonizes the inhibitory effect of the warfarin on the biosynthesis of vitamin K-dependent coagulation factors<sup>4</sup>. However, even if the vitamin K content in the enteral formula is set to a dose that does not affect the anticoagulant properties, the effect of the warfarin is weakened with co-administration of warfarin and enteral formula<sup>6</sup>. Therefore, these factors are considered to reduce warfarin activity.

The binding rate of warfarin to plasma protein is very high, from 90% to 99%7, but it only exerts pharmacological effects in its free form<sup>7</sup>. Therefore, the proteins in enteral formula may also contribute to the weakening of warfarin action<sup>6</sup>. According to Penrod et al.4, several enteral formula products bind warfarin, reducing its bioavailability. In the clinical setting, changing the enteral formula from Mei Balance R<sup>®</sup> to F2 Light<sup>®</sup> shortens PT-INR, while further changing to Mei Flow® extends PT-INR to within the recommended treatment range<sup>6</sup>. When daily vitamin K intake exceeds 250 µg, the probability of adverse events (a shortened PT-INR) increases8. In Sato et al.6, vitamin K intake was less than 250 µg, so the authors ruled out an effect of vitamin K. Furthermore, when warfarin was added to the enteral formulas, the free warfarin rates measured by HPLC were 66.6% for Mei Balance R<sup>®</sup>, 44.2% for Mei Flow<sup>®</sup>, and 12.6% for F2 Light<sup>®6</sup>.

Based on their findings, we studied the binding between warfarin and these enteral formulas or their protein components by quantifying the free warfarin concentration and then performed a basic analysis of the binding site.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

### Materials

Warfarin sodium (biochemistry grade), methanol (HPLC grade), and phosphoric acid (guaranteed reagent grade) were purchased from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation (Osaka, Japan). Mei Balance R<sup>®</sup> and Mei Flow<sup>®</sup> (Meiji Company Limited, Tokyo, Japan) and F2 Light® and PG Soft EJ<sup>®</sup> (TERUMO Company Limited, Tokyo, Japan) were obtained from commercial sources. The components of these enteral formulas are shown in Table 1. Milka MPI<sup>®</sup>, LE80GF-US<sup>®</sup>, Casein calcium S (-Ca)<sup>®</sup>, Lactocrystal<sup>®</sup>, PROGEL800<sup>®</sup>, Wheyco W80<sup>®</sup>, LACTOMIN 80-S<sup>®</sup>, and Willpro P20<sup>®</sup> were purchased from Nippon Shinyaku Company Limited (Kyoto, Japan). A Centrifree® centrifugal ultrafiltration device with a 30-kDa molecular weight cutoff was obtained from Merck Millipore (Tokyo, Japan). Human plasma (pool, heparin) was purchased from Tennessee Blood Service (Memphis, TN).

#### Instruments

Ultrafast liquid chromatography analysis was performed on a chromatographic system (Model No. CBM-20A, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) consisting of a degasser (DGU-20A5), quaternary pump (LC-20AD), autoinjector (SIL-20A), column oven (CTO-20A), and dual-wavelength diode array detector (SPD-M20A). The analytical column used was an Inert Sustain<sup>TM</sup> C<sub>18</sub> (4.6 × 150 mm; particle size, 5 µm; GL Sciences Inc.). Centrifugation was performed with an LC-230 centrifuge (Tomy Industries Company Limited, Tokyo, Japan).

# HPLC conditions for determining warfarin concentrations

Free warfarin concentrations were measured using HPLC according to the test conditions for

		Mei Balance R®	Mei Flow®	F2 Light <sup>®</sup>	PG Soft EJ®
Calorie (kcal)		67.1	179.6	79.6	164.8
Protein	Total amount (g)	2.7	7.2	3.2	6.6
	Composition	Milk protein	Milk protein	Whey protein	Whey protein
		Soy protein	Casein sodium	Soy protein	Soy protein
		Casein sodium			
Lipid (g)		1.9	5.0	1.8	3.6
Carbohydrate (g)		10.5	28.6	13.6	26.5
Available carbohydrate (g)		9.9	25.9	12.3	25.9
Dietary fiber	Total amount (g)	0.7	2.7	1.3	0.6
	Composition	Liquid dextrin	Dextrin	Dextrin	Dextrin
		Indigestible dextrin	Indigestible dextrin	Soy fiber	Agar
		Stabilizer (Carrageenan)	Soy fiber	Agar	
			Thickener		
			(Carrageenan)		
Ash (g)		0.6	1.3	0.7	1.1
Water (g)		89.5	71.9	87.5	72.0
Vitamin K (µg)		3.4	11.3	11.9	24.7
рН		7	7	4	4

Table 1 List of the main components in each enteral formula per 100 mL

warfarin potassium tablets as established by the Japanese Pharmacopoeia<sup>9</sup>. HPLC was performed under the following conditions: mobile phase, 70% MeOH:phosphoric acid (1000:1); flow rate, 0.8 mL/ min; column temperature, 35°C; injection volume, 10 µL. HPLC eluates were monitored by UV absorbance at 283 nm.

Scatchard plot of warfarin binding to plasma or enteral formula

A 495-µL plasma sample was added to a 5-µL aqueous solution of warfarin (10, 16, 20, 30, 32, 50, 62.5, 80, and 100 mg/mL), and a 1-mL sample of enteral formula was added to a 60-µL aqueous solution of warfarin (10, 30, 50, 80, 100, 300, 500, 800, and 1000 µg/mL). The protein concentration in the enteral formulas was set to 2 mg/mL to avoid the Donnan effect and protein–protein interactions<sup>10</sup>. These samples were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. Then, 80 µL of the plasma sample or 1060 µL of the enteral formula sample was pipetted into a Centrifree tube. After centrifugation (2000 × g for 10 min at room temperature), the concentration of ultrafiltrate (free) warfarin was quantified by HPLC<sup>11</sup>. A Scatchard plot was created from the obtained free

warfarin concentration, and the binding site with warfarin was estimated by the formula r / [Df] = nK– rK, where r is the molar ratio of bound warfarin to protein, [Df] is the molar concentration of free warfarin at equilibrium, K is the association constant, and n is the number of binding sites<sup>10</sup>.

Warfarin binding to whey proteins and its Scatchard plot

A 1-mL aqueous solution of eight types of proteins (50 mg/mL) was added to a 60- $\mu$ L aqueous solution of warfarin (50 mg/mL). The protein concentration was set to the protein content of the enteral formula. These samples were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. After centrifugation (2000 × g for 10 min at room temperature), the concentration of free warfarin was quantified by HPLC<sup>9</sup>.

We derived a Scatchard plot by adding a 1-mL aqueous solution of protein (Lactocrystal<sup>®</sup>, PROGEL800<sup>®</sup>, and Wheyco W80<sup>®</sup>) (2 mg/mL to avoid the Donnan effect and protein–protein interactions<sup>10</sup>) to a 60- $\mu$ L aqueous solution of warfarin (10, 30, 50, 80, 100, 300, 500, 800, and 1000  $\mu$ g/mL). The subsequent procedure was the same as the above.

Data analysis

Data are expressed as the mean  $\pm$  standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis was performed using the statistical software package EZR, version 1.40<sup>12</sup>. The free warfarin rate was analyzed using the Tukey test. The relationship between the n·K value and the warfarin binding rate was estimated using Pearson's correlation test. All results with p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Binding of warfarin in plasma and enteral formula

Based on our previous findings<sup>6</sup> of a decreased free warfarin rate when warfarin is mixed with enteral formula, we used a Scatchard plot to examine the binding of warfarin in the present study.

For plasma, the Scatchard plot of warfarin was curvilinear, suggesting the existence of two classes of binding sites (Fig. 1A). The association constant K of plasma closely agreed with that previously reported for serum<sup>13</sup> (Table 2). For four types of enteral formulas (Mei Balance R®, Mei Flow®, F2 Light®, and PG Soft EJ®), the Scatchard plot of warfarin was curvilinear, suggesting the existence of two classes of binding sites for all enteral formulas (Fig. 1B). The binding of warfarin to plasma was stronger than that to the enteral formulas because the number of binding sites (n) of plasma ( $n_1 = 1.1 \times 10^3$ ,  $n_2 = 2.7$  $\times$  10<sup>3</sup>) was higher than that of the enteral formulas  $(n_1 = 2.2-7.4, n_2 = 3.8 \times 10^1 - 5.9 \times 10^2)$  (Table 2). The binding constant K depended on the type of enteral formula. The lower free warfarin rates of F2 Light<sup>®</sup> and PG Soft EJ<sup>®6</sup> ( $K_1 = 11.1$  and 7.1 µmol/L,  $K_2 = 4.1 \times 10^2$  and  $5.9 \times 10^2 \mu mol/L$ ) corresponded to a higher association constant K than for Mei Balance  $R^{\text{\tiny (B)}}$  and Mei Flow<sup>®</sup> (K<sub>1</sub> = 6.3 and 5.2 µmol/ L,  $K_2 = 2.8 \times 10^2$  and  $2.8 \times 10^2 \mu mol/L$ ). Our data suggested that F2 Light® and PG Soft EJ® exhibited stronger binding of warfarin than Mei Balance R® and Mei Flow®. This is likely to be caused by differences in the composition of these enteral formulas compared with F2 Light®-PG Soft EJ® and Mei



Component		K <sub>1</sub>	n <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>2</sub>
Component	11]	(µmol/L)		(µmol/L)
Plasma	$1.1 \times 10^{3}$	6.2	$2.7 \times 10^{3}$	$9.6 \times 10^{1}$
Mei Balance R®	2.2	6.3	$3.8 \times 10^1$	$2.8 \times 10^2$
Mei Flow®	2.5	5.2	$5.2 \times 10^1$	$2.8 \times 10^2$
F2 Light <sup>®</sup>	6.6	11.1	$2.8 \times 10^2$	$4.1 \times 10^{2}$
PG Soft EJ®	7.4	7.1	$5.9 \times 10^2$	$5.9 \times 10^2$
Lactocrystal®	4.0	14.4	$6.1 \times 10^{1}$	$2.1 \times 10^{2}$
PROGEL800®	1.7	4.2	$3.6 \times 10^{1}$	$4.0 \times 10^{2}$
Wheyco W80®	2.2	5.4	$2.6  imes 10^1$	$2.8  imes 10^2$

 Table 2
 Binding parameters for the interaction of warfarin with plasma, enteral formulas, or whey proteins

n, number of binding sites; K, association constant

Balance R<sup>®</sup>–Mei Flow<sup>®</sup> (Table 1). Mei Balance R<sup>®</sup> and Mei Flow<sup>®</sup> contain casein, whereas F2 Light<sup>®</sup> and PG Soft EJ<sup>®</sup> do not. Milk protein comprises about 80% casein and 20% whey protein<sup>14</sup>. Whey is a byproduct of the production of cheese and other dairy products. It contains protein and sugar (lactose) and it can be valorized by the fermentative action of yeasts<sup>15</sup>. Therefore, it may be necessary to consider not only differences in the amount of protein but also changes in components such as lactose.

Binding of warfarin to whey and other types of proteins

Proteins of various origins were mixed with warfarin and the free warfarin rate was measured. Milka MPI<sup>®</sup>, derived from synthetic milk protein, had a free warfarin rate of  $62.1 \pm 1.0\%$ , LE80GF-US<sup>®</sup>, derived from peptide protein, had a rate of  $74.7 \pm 1.3\%$ , and Casein calcium S (-Ca)<sup>®</sup>, derived from casein protein, had a rate of  $60.2 \pm 4.8\%$ . Lactocrystal<sup>®</sup>, PROGEL800<sup>®</sup>, Wheyco W80<sup>®</sup>, and LACTOMIN80-S<sup>®</sup>, all derived from whey protein, had free warfarin rates of  $4.2 \pm 0.2\%$ ,  $75.3 \pm 4.5\%$ ,  $74.1 \pm 5.6\%$ , and  $65.4 \pm 10.6\%$ , respectively (n = 3). Willpro P20<sup>®</sup>, derived from soy protein, had a free warfarin rate of  $65.1 \pm$ 

3.3% (*n* = 3) (Fig. 2). Thus, the free warfarin rate decreased with all proteins, although Lactocrystal® had the lowest free warfarin rate of all protein types. Lactocrystal<sup>®</sup> is a whey-derived protein and whey protein is found in F2 Light® and PG Soft EJ® (Table 1). The reason for the strong effect of Lactocrystal<sup>®</sup> seems to be that it was a more acidic protein (pH 3.4) (product information for Lactocrystal® from Nippon Shinyaku Company Limited) compared with the other proteins (pH 6.5-7.0) (product information for Milka MPI®, LE80GF-US®, Casein calcium S (-Ca)®, PROGEL800®, and Willpro P20® from Nippon Shinyaku Company Limited). Since warfarin is an acidic medicine<sup>16</sup> and  $\alpha_1$ -acid glycoprotein (pH 3) is reported to have an acidic ligand binding site, as well as a basic ligand binding site<sup>17</sup>, Lactocrystal® may also interact with this acidic ligand binding site. It has been reported that  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin, a type of whey protein, binds to hydrophobic substances such as retinol, triglyceride, and long-chain fatty acids in vitro<sup>18</sup>. Moreover, HAMLET (human alpha-lactalbumin made lethal to tumor cells) is a complex of human  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin, a type of whey protein, and oleic acid that induces apoptosis-like death in tumor cells but spares most healthy differentiated cells<sup>19</sup>.



Fig. 2. Free warfarin rates for proteins mixed with warfarin. Data are presented as the mean (bars) and SD (whiskers) of three independent experiments. \*p < 0.01 vs. Lactocrystal<sup>®</sup> by Tukey's test.

In addition, the Scatchard plots of whey proteins (Lactocrystal<sup>®</sup>, PROGEL800<sup>®</sup>, and Wheyco W80<sup>®</sup>) were curvilinear, suggesting the presence of two classes of binding sites (Fig. 1C). No difference in the association constant K was observed between Lactocrystal® (which showed the lowest free warfarin rate) and PROGEL800® and Wheyco W80® (which showed relatively high free warfarin rates) (Table 2). Furthermore, the binding rates of plasma, enteral formulas, and whey proteins with warfarin were significantly positively correlated with the n·K value (the n·K value is a parameter considered to represent the strength of the binding) (R = 0.947, p = $1.14 \times 10^{-35}$ ) (Fig. 3). These results showed that a smaller n·K value was correlated with less binding of warfarin to protein and indicated a higher free warfarin rate, confirming the high correlation (R =0.947) between the strength of the binding and the rates of warfarin binding to protein. These results showed that the protein in the enteral formulas and warfarin were bound to each other in vitro and the binding strength may be dependent on the type of protein or other components.

#### 4. Conclusion

Building on our previous findings<sup>6</sup>, we have conducted further research into the binding of warfarin and enteral formulas. We found that a







Scatchard analysis of the binding between enteral formulas and warfarin found that each enteral formula shows a different association constant K. Proteins with a large n·K value bind strongly to warfarin, suggesting that strong binding to protein might affect the absorption of warfarin from the intestine. Therefore, when the management of patients with warfarin and enteral formula is changed due to hospital transfer, PT-INR (an indicator of warfarin efficacy.) may be altered. When the enteral formula type is changed during the co-administration of warfarin and enteral formula, precautions need to be taken, such as monitoring of warfarin or PT-INR.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgments

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### References

- 1. O'Reilly RA: Warfarin metabolism and drug-drug interactions. Adv Exp Med Biol, 214: 205-212, 1987.
- 2. Kaminsky LS and Zhang ZY: Human P450 metabolism of warfarin. Pharmacol Ther, 73: 67-74, 1997.
- Fugh-Berman A and Ernst E: Herb-drug interactions: review and assessment of report reliability. Br J Clin Pharmacol, 52: 587-595, 2001.
- Penrod LE, Allen JB and Cabacungan LR: Warfarin resistance and enteral feedings: 2 case reports and a supporting in vitro study. Arch Phys Med Rehabil, 82: 1270-1273, 2001.
- Ferreira-Silva R and Rita Carvalho Garbi Novaes M: Interactions between drugs and drug-nutrient in enteral nutrition: a review based on evidences. Nutr Hosp, 30: 514-518, 2014.
- Sato N, Murakawa A, Nishi K, Homma K, Koike Y, Yagi K, Tsugita M, Ohta-Shimizu M and Nakagawa S: Interaction between an enteral nutrient and warfarin
   Reports on two cases and an in vitro study [Jpn]. J Jpn Soc Hosp Pharm (Byoin Yakuzaishikai), 56: 41-47, 2020.
- O'Reilly RA, Aggeler PM and Leong LS: Studies on the coumarin anticoagulant drugs: a comparison of the pharmacodynamics of dicumarol and warfarin in man. Thromb Diath Haemorrh, 11: 1-22, 1964.
- Fujino T, Ito Y, Taki Y et al.: Literature search on the interaction between warfarin and vitamin K [Jpn]. Jpn J Clin Pharmacol Ther (Rinsho Yakuri), 41: 43-52, 2010.
- Edited by The Society of Japanese Pharmacopoeia. Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare: Warfarin potassium tablets, The Japanese Pharmacopoeia, 18th ed. 51-1706-0, Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, Japan (2020).

- 10. O'Reilly RA: Studies on the coumarin anticoagulant drugs: interaction of human plasma albumin and warfarin sodium. J Clin Invest, 46: 829-837, 1967.
- 11. van Ewijk-Beneken Kolmer EWJ, Teulen MJA, van den Hombergh ECA, van Erp NE, Te Brake LHM and Aarnoutse RE: Determination of proteinunbound, active rifampicin in serum by ultrafiltration and ultra performance liquid chromatography with UV detection. A method suitable for standard and high doses of rifampicin. J Chromatogr B Analyt Technol Biomed Life Sci, 1063: 42-49, 2017.
- Kanda Y: Investigation of the freely available easyto-use software 'EZR' for medical statistics. Bone Marrow Transplant, 48: 452-458, 2013.
- Olsen H, Andersen A, Nordbø A, Kongsgaard UE, Børmer OP: Pharmaceutical-grade albumin: impaired drug-binding capacity in vitro. BMC Clin Pharmacol, 4: 4, 2004.
- Jahan-Mihan A, Luhovyy BL, Khoury DE, Anderson GH: Dietary proteins as determinants of metabolic and physiologic functions of the gastrointestinal tract. Nutrients, 3:574-603, 2011.
- Martini S, Bonazzi M, Malorgio I, Pizzamiglio V, Tagliazucchi D, Solieri L: Characterization of Yeasts Isolated from Parmigiano Reggiano Cheese Natural Whey Starter: From Spoilage Agents to Potential Cell Factories for Whey Valorization. Microorganisms. 9:2288, 2021.
- Urien S, Albengres E, Zini R, Tillement JP: Evidence for binding of certain acidic drugs to alpha 1-acid glycoprotein. Biochem Pharmacol, 31: 3687-3689, 1982.
- Matsumoto K, Sukimoto K, Nishi K, Maruyama T, Suenaga A, Otagiri M: Characterization of ligand binding sites on the alphal-acid glycoprotein in humans, bovines and dogs. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet, 17: 300-306, 2002.
- Pérez MD and Calvo M: Interaction of beta-lactoglobulin with retinol and fatty acids and its role as a possible biological function for this protein: a review. J Dairy Sci, 78: 978-988, 1995.
- Svensson M, Fast J, Mossberg AK, Düringer C, Gustafsson L, Hallgren O, Brooks CL, Berliner L, Linse S and Svanborg C: Alpha-lactalbumin unfolding is not sufficient to cause apoptosis, but is required for the conversion to HAMLET (human alpha-lactalbumin made lethal to tumor cells). Protein Sci, 12: 2794-2804, 2003.